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#### Abstract

L. Volkmann, Discrete Math. 245 (2002) 19-53 posed the following question. Let $4 \leq m \leq n$. Are there strong $n$-partite tournaments, which are not themselves tournaments, with exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$ ? We answer this question in affirmative. We raise the following problem. Given $m \in\{3,4, \ldots, n\}$, find a characterization of strong $n$-partite tournaments having exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$.


Keywords: Multipartite tournaments; cycles; tournaments

## 1 Introduction

We use terminology and notation of [1]; all necessary notation and a large part of terminology used in this paper are provided in the next section.

A very informative paper [11] of L. Volkmann is the latest survey on cycles in an important class of digraphs, multipartite tournaments. Cycles in multipartite tournaments were earlier overviewed in $[2,6,8]$. Along with description of a large number of results on cycles in multipartite tournaments, L. Volkmann [11] poses several open problems. In this paper, we solve one of them.

Problem 1.1 (Problem 2.27 in [11]) Let $4 \leq m \leq n$. Are there strong $n$-partite tournaments, which are not themselves tournaments, with exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$ ?

This problem is a natural question due to the following reasons:
(i) According to Theorem 2.24 in [11], every strong $n$-partite tournament, $n \geq 3$, has at least $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$ for $3 \leq m \leq n$;
(ii) By reversing the arcs of the unique Hamilton path of the transitive tournament on $n$ vertices, we obtain a strong tournament with exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$ for every $3 \leq m \leq n$ (see [9]);
(iii) For every odd $n \geq 3$, there exists a strong $n$-partite tournament with $n-2$ cycles of length 3 (see [5] or Theorem 2.26 in [11]).

One may wish to strengthen Problem 1.1 as follows.
Problem 1.2 Let $3 \leq m \leq n$ and $n \geq 4$. Are there strong $n$-partite tournaments, which are not themselves tournaments, with exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$ for two values of $m$ ?

In Section 3, we solve Problem 1.1 in affirmative. We do it by exhibiting a simple family of multipartite tournaments. We also show that such multipartite tournaments cannot have $m$-cycles with a pair of vertices from the same partite set. This result might well be of interest for solving the following open problem: Given $m \in\{3,4, \ldots, n\}$, find a characterization of strong $n$-partite tournaments having exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$. In Section 4 we show that Problem 1.2 has a negative answer for $m \in\{n-1, n\}$.

## 2 Terminology, notation and known results

A digraph obtained from an undirected graph $G$ by replacing every edge of $G$ with a directed edge (arc) with the same end-vertices is called an orientation of $G$. An oriented graph is an orientation of some undirected graph. A tournament is an orientation of a complete graph, and an $n$-partite tournament is an orientation of a complete $n$-partite graph. Partite sets of complete graphs become partite sets of $n$-partite tournaments.

The terms cycles and paths mean simple directed cycles and paths. A cycle of length $k$ is a $k$-cycle. A digraph $D$ is strongly connected (or strong) if for every ordered pair $x, y$ of vertices in $D$ there exist paths from $x$ to $y$. For a set $X$ of vertices of a digraph $D, D\langle X\rangle$ denotes the subdigraph of $D$ induced by $X$.

For sets $T, S$ of vertices of a digraph $D=(V, A), T \rightarrow S$ means that for every vertex $t \in T$ and for every vertex $s \in S$, we have $t s \in A$, and $T \Rightarrow S$ means that for no pair $s \in S, t \in T$, we have $s t \in A$. While for oriented graphs $T \rightarrow S$ implies $T \Rightarrow S$, this is not always true for general digraphs. If $u \rightarrow v$ (i.e., $u v \in A$ ), we say that $u$ dominates $v$ and $v$ is dominated by $u$.

The following three results on cycles in strong $n$-partite tournaments are of interest for this paper.

Theorem 2.1 [7] Every partite set of a strong $n$-partite tournament, $n \geq 3$, contains a vertex which lies on an $m$-cycle for each $m \in\{3,4, \ldots, n\}$.

Theorem 2.2[5] Every vertex in a strong n-partite tournament, $n \geq 3$, belongs to a cycle that contains vertices from exactly $q$ partite sets for each $q \in\{3,4, \ldots, n\}$.

Theorem 2.3 [11] Every strong $n$-partite tournament, $n \geq 3$, has at least $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$ for $3 \leq m \leq n$.

## 3 Results related to Problem 1.1

The following theorem solves Problem 1.1 in affirmative.

Proposition 3.1 Let $D$ be an $n$-partite tournament and let $4 \leq m \leq n$. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{n}$ be partite sets of $D$ and let $v_{i} \in V_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. If $D$ satisfies the following conditions, then it has exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$.

1) $\left|V_{i}\right|=1$ for every $i \neq n-m+2$.
2) $C=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n} v_{1}$ is an $n$-cycle.
3) For every $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$ and $r \in\{s+2, s+3, \ldots, n\}$, we have $v_{r} \rightarrow v_{s}$.
4) $v_{n} \rightarrow\left(V_{n-m+2}-\left\{v_{n-m+2}\right\}\right) \Rightarrow\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$.

Proof: By the conditions 2 and 3, the only path from vertex $v_{s}$ to $v_{r}, r>s$ in $D\langle V(C)\rangle$ is $v_{s} v_{s+1} \ldots v_{r}$, which has $r+1-s$ vertices. Therefore, $D\langle V(C)\rangle$ has $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$. It is remain to show that there is no $m$-cycle $C^{\prime}$ that contains a vertex $x \in V_{n-m+2}-\left\{v_{n-m+2}\right\}$. Assume that $C^{\prime}=x x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{m-1} x$ is an $m$-cycle through $x$. By the conditions 1 and 4 the only vertex that dominates a vertex in $V_{n-m+2}-\left\{v_{n-m+2}\right\}$ is $v_{n}$. Therefore all the vertices in $V\left(C^{\prime}\right)-\{x\}$ are in $V(C)$. Also $x_{m-1}=v_{n}$.

Let $x_{1}=v_{k}$. By the conditions 2 and 3 the only path in $D\langle V(C)\rangle$ from $v_{k}$ to $v_{n}$ is $v_{k} v_{k+1} \ldots v_{n}$, which has $n+1-k$ vertices. So we have $n+1-k=m-1$, i.e., $k=n-m+2$. But we have $x \rightarrow x_{1}=v_{n-m+2}$. This is a contradiction because $v_{n-m+2}$ and $x$ are in the same partite set. From the above we conclude that $D$ has exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$.

It would be interesting to solve the following natural problem.

Problem 3.2 Let $m \in\{3,4, \ldots, n\}$. Find a characterization of strong $n$-partite tournaments having exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$.

This problem seems to be especially interesting for the case of Hamilton cycles, i.e., $m=n$. Tournaments with a unique Hamilton cycle were first characterized by Douglas
[3]. Douglas's characterization is not simple even though the number of such tournaments on $n$ vertices equals exactly the $(2 n-6)$ th Fibonacci number $[4,10]$.

The following theorem might well be of interest for solving Problem 3.2.
Theorem 3.3 Let $m \in\{3,4, \ldots, n\}$ and let $D$ be a strong $n$-partite tournament that has an $m$-cycle $C$ containing vertices from less than $m$ partite sets. Then $D$ has more than $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$.

Proof: If $m=n$, then by Theorem 2.1, there is another $m$-cycle that contains vertices from the partite set that does not have intersection with $V(C)$.

We prove the theorem by induction on $\ell=n-m+1 \geq 1$. The above argument provides the basis of our induction $(\ell=1)$. Now assume that $\ell \geq 2$. Let $V^{\prime}$ be a maximal set such that $V(C) \subseteq V^{\prime}, V^{\prime}$ does not contains vertices from all partite sets, and $D\left\langle V^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is strong. If $D\left\langle V^{\prime}\right\rangle$ contains vertices from $n-1$ partite sets then by induction hypothesis $D\left\langle V^{\prime}\right\rangle$ has more than $\ell-1=n-m$ cycles of length $m$. By Theorem 2.1 the remaining partite set has a vertex that is contained in an $m$-cycle. These imply that $D$ has more than $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$. In particular, this argument extends the basis of our induction to $\ell=2$.

Now we may assume that $\ell \geq 3$ and $V^{\prime}$ contains vertices from $q \leq n-2$ partite sets. Let $t_{1}$ be a vertex in $V(D)-V^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $V^{\prime} \Rightarrow t_{1}$. Since $D$ is strong there is a path from $t_{1}$ to a vertex $x \in V^{\prime}$. Let $P=t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{r} x$ be such a path and assume that $P$ is of minimum length. Therefore, we have $V^{\prime} \Rightarrow\left\{t_{2}, t_{3}, \ldots, t_{r-1}\right\}$. If $t_{r-1}$ and $t_{r}$ are in partite sets that have intersection with $V^{\prime}$, then we can add $t_{r-1}$ and $t_{r}$ to $V^{\prime}$, a contradiction. Therefore one of them is in a partite set that does not have intersection with $V^{\prime}$. If $q \leq n-3$ we can still add $t_{r-1}$ and $t_{r}$ to $V^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

Therefore the remaining case is $q=n-2$, and $t_{r-1}$ and $t_{r}$ are in two different partite sets that do not have intersection with $V^{\prime}$. By our assumption we have $t_{r} \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow t_{r-1} \rightarrow t_{r}$. Now consider $C$. We can find two distinct $m$-cycles that contain $t_{r-1}$ and $t_{r}$, and some vertices from $C$. By induction hypothesis, $D\left\langle V^{\prime}\right\rangle$ has more than $\ell-2=n-m-1$ distinct $m$-cycles. These imply that $D$ has more than $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$.

Corollary 3.4 Let $D$ be a strong n-partite tournament and let $D$ have exactly $n-m+1$ cycles of length $m$ for some $m \in\{3,4, \ldots, n\}$. Then every $m$-cycle of $D$ has no pair of vertices from the same partite set.

## 4 Results related to Problem 1.2

In this section we show that Problem 1.2 has a negative answer for $m \in\{n-1, n\}$. We denote, by $\mathcal{U C} \mathcal{C}_{n}$, the set of all strong $n$-partite tournaments, $n \geq 4$, which are not
themselves tournaments, with exactly one cycle of length $n$.

Lemma 4.1 If $D \in \mathcal{U C}_{n}, n \geq 4$, and $C$ is its unique $n$-cycle, then there is a vertex $y \in D-V(C)$ such that $D\langle V(C) \cup\{y\}\rangle$ is strong.

Proof: Let $D \in \mathcal{U C} \mathcal{C}_{n}$ and let $C$ be its unique $n$-cycle. By Corollary 3.4, $C$ contains a vertex from every partite set of $D$. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{n}$ be partite sets of $D$ and let $C=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n} v_{1}, v_{i} \in V_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

Assume that there is no vertex $y \in D-V(C)$ for which $D\langle V(C) \cup\{y\}\rangle$ is strong. Then the following two sets $S$ and $T$ are non-empty: $S(T)$ is the set of vertices in $D-V(C)$ that do not dominate (are not dominated by) any vertex in $C$. Since $D$ is strong and $V(C) \cup S \cup T=V(D)$, there exist vertices $u \in S$ and $w \in T$ such that $u \rightarrow w$. Assume that $u \in V_{i}, w \in V_{j}(i \neq j)$. If $i \neq j-2$, then $u w v_{j+1} v_{j+2} \ldots v_{j-2} u$ is an $n$-cycle of $D$ distinct from $C$, which is impossible. If $i=j-2$, then $u w v_{j-1} v_{j} \ldots v_{j-4} u$ is an $n$-cycle of $D$ distinct from $C$, which is impossible.

Theorem 4.2 There are no strong n-partite tournaments, $n \geq 4$, which are not themselves tournaments, with exactly one cycle of length $n$ and two cycles of length $n-1$.

Proof: Let $D \in \mathcal{U C}_{n}$. By Corollary 3.4, the unique $n$-cycle in $D$ is $C=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n} v_{1}$, where $v_{i} \in V_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $y$ be a vertex in $D-V(C)$ such that $D\langle V(C) \cup\{y\}\rangle$ is strong. By Theorem 2.2, $y$ lies in a cycle $C^{\prime}$ of $D\langle V(C) \cup\{y\}\rangle$ that contains vertices from exactly $n-1$ partite sets. If $C^{\prime}$ contains $v_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ belongs to the same partite set as $y$, then the length of $C^{\prime}$ is $n$, a contradiction. Thus, $C^{\prime}$ is an $(n-1)$-cycle. It remains to observe that $D\langle V(C)\rangle$ has at least two $(n-1)$-cycles by Theorem 2.3.
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